

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/716,444	11/20/2003	Gi Hyeong Do	9988.075.00-US	6634
30827 7	7590 07/14/2005	•	EXAMINER	
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP			GRAVINI, STEPHEN MICHAEL	
1900 K STREI WASHINGTO	EI, NW ON, DC 20006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3749	
				_

DATE MAILED: 07/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<i>XY</i>	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/716,444	DO, GI HYEONG	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stephen Gravini	3749	
The MAILING DATE of this communication of Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) T 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the cond	his action is non-final. wance except for formal mat	·	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and are subject to restriction and are subject to restriction and are subjected to by the Examplication Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examplicant may not request that any objection to the subjection to the subject on the subject of the subject on the subject on the subject on the subject of the subject on t	drawn from consideration. d/or election requirement. iner. accepted or b)□ objected to		/
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the contact 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	·		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a line. 	ents have been received. ents have been received in A priority documents have beer reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Λ □	Summery /DTO 4423	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20050121. 	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Art Unit: 3749

DETAILED ACTION

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 1-2, 4, and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Souza (US 5,761,314).

Claims 9, 11-12, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Heater et al. (US 6,199,300).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Souza in view of Sung (US 5,245,764). Souza is considered to clearly anticipate the claimed invention, except for the claimed predetermined temperature value and motor stoppage step. First, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to claim the predetermined temperature value, since that value has not been shown to have any patentable advantage over the temperature values found in the prior art of record. Second, it considered that Sung, another dryer, discloses the claimed motor stoppage step at column 7 line 17. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to combine the teachings of Souza, with the teachings of a motor stoppage step, considered to be found in Sung for the purpose of allowing forced cool air circulation for drying clothes without the excessive heat that would cause damage to desired laundry cleanings.

Claims 10 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heater in view of Sung. Heater is considered to clearly anticipate the claimed

Art Unit: 3749

invention, except for the claimed predetermined temperature value and motor stoppage step. First, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to claim the predetermined temperature value, since that value has not been shown to have any patentable advantage over the temperature values found in the prior art of record. Second, it considered that Sung, another dryer, discloses the claimed motor stoppage step at column 7 line 17. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to combine the teachings of Heater, with the teachings of a motor stoppage step, considered to be found in Sung for the purpose of allowing forced cool air circulation for drying clothes without the excessive heat that would cause damage to desired laundry cleanings.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-14 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-11 of U.S. Patent No. 6,775,923. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the present application expressly recites a cooling

Art Unit: 3749

procedure which is inherently carried out by applicant's earlier patented heater stoppage claim step.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed April 13, 2005 have been fully considered but they are considered moot in view of new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Gravini whose telephone number is 571 272 4875. The examiner can normally be reached on normal weekday business hours (east coast time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Monica S. Carter can be reached on 571 272 4475. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SMG

July 7, 2005